



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,675	07/20/2005	Martin Geier	23135	1884
535 7590 09/04/2009 K.F. ROSS P.C. 5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900				
EXAMINER				
FISHER, ABIGAIL L				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/04/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,675	GEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ABIGAIL FISHER	1616	

All Participants:

(1) ABIGAIL FISHER.

(2) Jonathan Myers.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

32-37

Prior art documents discussed:

Bosch

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 9:30 am

/Mina Haghighatian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

The examiner called Mr. Myers to indicate that in order to overcome the rejection of record a declaration showing a side-by-side comparison of the Bosch combination of lactic acid, ammonia and C5 to that of the instant combination of lactic acid, ammonia and C6 is necessary. Mr. Myers and the examiner discussed what data would need to be presented in order to overcome the rejection of record. Mr. Myers indicated that he would contact applicants and discuss the matter with them.